1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JAMES EDWARD TAYLOR, JR.,	No. 2:23-cv-0005 AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	HO, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On May 23, 2024, defendant filed two motions to compel and a motion for judgment on	
18	the pleadings. ECF Nos. 33-35. On June 4, 2024, defendant filed a third motion to compel. ECF	
19	No. 36. After plaintiff failed to respond to any of the motions, he was ordered to do so within	
20	twenty-one days and cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this	
21	action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil	
22	Procedure 41(b). ECF No. 41. The twenty-one-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not	
23	filed a response to any of the motions or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
24	In recommending this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, the court has	
25	considered "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to	
26	manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring	
27	disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives." <u>Ferdik v.</u>	
28	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 19	192) (citation omitted). Because this case cannot

Case 2:23-cv-00005-DJC-AC Document 42 Filed 08/14/24 Page 1 of 2

move forward without plaintiff's participation, the court finds the factors weigh in favor of dismissal. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action. IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: August 13, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:23-cv-00005-DJC-AC Document 42 Filed 08/14/24 Page 2 of 2